

The use of enteral and parenteral nutrition in medical care.

Thomas Gonon*

Department of Food Chemistry, University of Graz, Australia

Introduction

Enteral and parenteral nutrition are vital therapeutic tools in modern medical care, particularly for patients who cannot meet their nutritional needs through regular oral intake. These methods ensure that patients receive the necessary nutrients to support their health and recovery when they are unable to consume food normally due to illness, surgery, or other medical conditions. This article explores the differences, indications, benefits, and challenges associated with enteral and parenteral nutrition [1].

Enteral nutrition involves delivering nutrients directly into the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, typically through a tube, catheter, or stoma. This approach is often preferred when the GI tract is functional but the patient is unable to eat or swallow, such as in cases of neurological disorders, head and neck cancers, or swallowing difficulties due to surgery. The delivery methods include nasogastric (NG) tubes, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tubes, or jejunostomy tubes, depending on the patient's condition and the anticipated duration of therapy [2].

EN has several advantages over parenteral nutrition, primarily in preserving gut integrity. When the GI tract is used for nutrient absorption, it helps maintain the mucosal lining and promotes normal bowel function. Furthermore, enteral feeding has been associated with a lower risk of infection compared to parenteral feeding, as it avoids the need for intravenous access [3].

Enteral nutrition is indicated in conditions where the patient's ability to consume food orally is compromised but the digestive system remains intact. Common indications include severe malnutrition, swallowing difficulties (dysphagia), neurological conditions such as stroke or ALS, and recovery from gastrointestinal surgery. It is also used in patients with conditions that impair digestion or absorption but allow for the use of the GI tract, such as Crohn's disease or short bowel syndrome [4].

Parenteral nutrition refers to the intravenous administration of nutrients, bypassing the gastrointestinal system entirely. This method is employed when the GI tract is nonfunctional, obstructed, or inaccessible. It is usually administered through a central venous catheter, allowing for the infusion of a specially formulated nutrient solution containing amino acids, glucose, lipids, vitamins, and minerals. In some cases, parenteral nutrition may be given through a peripheral line for

short-term use, but central access is preferred for long-term treatment [5].

PN is generally reserved for patients who cannot receive adequate nutrition through enteral feeding or oral intake. It is commonly used in cases of severe gastrointestinal dysfunction, such as in patients with ileus, severe pancreatitis, or bowel resection, where the GI tract cannot be used for nutrient absorption [6].

Parenteral nutrition is indicated in patients with conditions that result in gastrointestinal failure, including severe malabsorption, bowel obstruction, or intractable vomiting. It is also used in critically ill patients who cannot tolerate enteral feeding due to their medical condition. For instance, patients undergoing major abdominal surgeries, those with gastrointestinal fistulas, or those recovering from severe burns may require PN to meet their nutritional needs [7].

Both enteral and parenteral nutrition provide essential nutrients that support tissue repair, immune function, and overall recovery. EN is generally preferred because it supports gut health and carries a lower risk of complications like infection and metabolic disturbances. Moreover, EN is more cost-effective and easier to manage in the long term for patients who need prolonged nutritional support [8].

Parenteral nutrition, on the other hand, is crucial for patients with nonfunctional or inaccessible GI tracts. It offers the flexibility to tailor nutrient delivery according to specific metabolic needs, ensuring that even patients with severe conditions can receive the nutrients they require to survive and recover [9].

Despite their benefits, both enteral and parenteral nutrition come with challenges. For enteral nutrition, complications can include tube dislodgement, infection at the insertion site, aspiration pneumonia, and gastrointestinal discomfort like bloating or diarrhea. The need for tube maintenance and monitoring can be burdensome, particularly for patients with long-term feeding needs [10].

Conclusion

Enteral and parenteral nutrition are indispensable tools in medical care, ensuring that patients who cannot consume food orally still receive the necessary nutrients for recovery and survival. While enteral nutrition is preferred due to its benefits in maintaining gut health and lower risk of complications, parenteral nutrition is crucial for patients with nonfunctional

*Correspondence to: Thomas Gonon, Department of Food Chemistry, University of Graz, Austria. E-mail: thomas.gonon@tugraz.at

Received: 2-Dec-2024, Manuscript No. *aaifnh-24-155411*; Editor assigned: 5-Dec-2024, PreQC No. *aaifnh-24-155411* (PQ); Reviewed: 18-Dec-2024, QC No. *aaifnh-24-155411*;

Revised: 25-Dec-2024, Manuscript No. *aaifnh-24-155407* (R); Published: 30-Dec-2024, DOI: [10.35841/aaifnh-7.6.239](https://doi.org/10.35841/aaifnh-7.6.239)

gastrointestinal systems. Both methods require careful planning, monitoring, and a personalized approach to meet each patient's unique needs. With advancements in nutrition therapy, both enteral and parenteral nutrition continue to play a significant role in the management of patients with complex medical conditions.

References

1. Pang G, Xie J, Chen Q, et al. Energy intake, metabolic homeostasis, and human health. *Food Sci Hum Wellness*. 2014;3(3-4):89-103.
2. Ganeshan K, Chawla A. Metabolic regulation of immune responses. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2014;32:609-34.
3. Pearce EL, Pearce EJ. Metabolic pathways in immune cell activation and quiescence. *Immunity*. 2013;38(4):633-43.
4. Barzilai N, Huffman DM, Muzumdar RH, et al. The critical role of metabolic pathways in aging. *Diabetes*. 2012;61(6):1315-22.
5. Finkel T. The metabolic regulation of aging. *Nat Med*. 2015;21(12):1416-23.
6. López M, Lelliott CJ, Vidal-Puig A. Hypothalamic fatty acid metabolism: a housekeeping pathway that regulates food intake. *Bioessays*. 2007;29(3):248-61.
7. Nakagawa T, Johnson RJ, Andres-Hernando A, et al. Fructose production and metabolism in the kidney. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;31(5):898.
8. Mahmood L. The metabolic processes of folic acid and Vitamin B12 deficiency. *J Health Res Rev*. 2014;1(1):5-9.
9. Landecker H. Food as exposure: Nutritional epigenetics and the new metabolism. *BioSocieties*. 2011;6:167-94.
10. Fearon KC, Glass DJ, Guttridge DC. Cancer cachexia: mediators, signaling, and metabolic pathways. *Cell metabolism*. 2012;16(2):153-66.