The Legacy of Parasitic Colonial Diseases: Unfinished Business in Global Health.

Elena Petrova*

Center for Molecular Parasitology, Moscow State University, Russia

Colonial history leaves behind complex legacies—many visible, some insidious. Among the most enduring and troubling are parasitic diseases, which remain a health burden disproportionately affecting populations in formerly colonized regions. These afflictions, such as malaria, schistosomiasis, and leishmaniasis, were not merely medical challenges but became instruments of control, symbols of exploitation, and reflections of inequity. Today, their persistence is a stark reminder of unfinished business in global health.

A Colonial Tool of Control

During colonial expansion, European powers encountered unfamiliar diseases, often viewing them as exotic threats or hindrances to their agendas. Malaria, for instance, was both a barrier to conquest and a justification for dominating tropical regions under the guise of "civilizing missions." British, French, and Portuguese empires developed sophisticated control measures—not primarily to protect local populations but to safeguard their colonial officials, troops, and economic interests

Colonial infrastructure decisions were deeply linked to disease dynamics. Investments were made in controlling diseases where economic assets—like plantations or mines—were at risk, not where local health needs were greatest. These selective interventions deepened disparities that persist today.

Parasites as Symbols of Stigma

Beyond the biological impact, parasitic diseases carried stigmatizing narratives. European colonizers often depicted the presence of diseases like leprosy or "tropical fever" as evidence of local backwardness. This framing justified colonial interventions and fostered a long-lasting stereotype: that these regions were inherently diseased, needing external governance.

Such attitudes influenced medical research and funding priorities. Post-independence, many countries found themselves without the resources to tackle these diseases effectively, a gap exacerbated by the extraction of wealth during colonial rule.

The Postcolonial Burden

Decolonization brought political independence but limited health sovereignty. Countries faced immense challenges with weak healthcare infrastructures ill-equipped to address endemic diseases. International aid programs often focused on diseases of global concern rather than local priorities, sometimes neglecting the control of endemic parasites.

Today, diseases like schistosomiasis (caused by parasitic worms) or leishmaniasis (a neglected tropical disease) remain prevalent in areas with limited access to clean water, sanitation, and healthcare—conditions worsened by colonial legacies of exploitation and environmental degradation.

Reversing the Tide: The Path Forward

Tackling these diseases requires more than biomedical solutions. It demands addressing structural inequalities and investing in local health infrastructure and education. International efforts must center on the needs of affected communities rather than donor-driven agendas. Initiatives like the WHO's Neglected Tropical Diseases program offer hope, but progress remains slow without sustained global commitment.

Conclusion

Parasitic diseases are not merely biological afflictions; they are historical and political phenomena deeply intertwined with colonial pasts. Their continued prevalence is a call to address historical wrongs through equity-focused health policies. Only by confronting this legacy can we hope to turn the page on an era where disease control served power, not people.

References

- 1. Allander K. The effects of an ectoparasite on reproductive success in the great tit: a 3-year experimental study. Can J Zool. 1998; 76(1):19-25.
- 2. Brown CR, Brown MB. Ectoparasitism as a cause of natal dispersal in cliff swallows. Ecology. 1992; 73(5):1718-23.
- 3. Christe P, Oppliger A, Richner H. Ectoparasite affects choice and use of roost sites in the great tit, Parus major. Animal Behaviour. 1994; 47(4):895-8.
- 4. Christe P, Richner H, Oppliger A. Of great tits and fleas: sleep baby sleep: Animal Behaviour. 1996; 52(6):1087-92.
- 5. Fracasso G, Heylen D, Van Dongen S, et.al. Predictors of individual performance and evolutionary potential of life history traits in a hematophagous ectoparasite. Evol. 2022;76(4):799-816.

Received: 27-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. AAPDDT-24-154440; Editor assigned: 01-Oct-2024, PreQC No. AAPDDT-24-154440 (PQ); Reviewed: 15-Oct-2024, QC No. AAPDDT-24-154440; Revised: 22-Oct-2024, Manuscript No. AAPDDT-24-154440 (R); Published: 29-Oct-2024, DOI:10.35841/aapddt-9.4.205

^{*}Correspondence to: Center for Molecular Parasitology, Moscow State University, Russia, E-mail: elena.petrova.parasite@gmail.com

- 6. Garrido-Bautista J, Soria A, Trenzado CE, et.al. Within-brood body size and immunological differences in Blue Tit (Cyanistes caeruleus) nestlings relative to ectoparasitism. Avian Res. 2022; 100038.
- 7. Jolly GM. Explicit estimates from capture-recapture data with both death and immigration-stochastic model. Biometrika. 1965;52(2):225-47.
- 8. Krasnov BR, Korallo-Vinarskaya N, Vinarski MV, et.al. Temporal variation of metacommunity structure in arthropod ectoparasites harboured by small mammals: the
- effects of scale and climatic fluctuations. Parasitol Res. 2022;121(2):537-49.
- 9. McInerney PL, Arnold LJ, Burke C, et.al. Multiple occurrences of pathologies suggesting a common and severe bone infection in a population of the Australian Pleistocene giant, Genyornis newtoni (Aves, Dromornithidae). Pap Palaeontol. 2022;8(1):e1415.
- 10. Noguera JC, Velando A. Maternal testosterone affects offspring telomerase activity in a long-lived seabird. Evol Ecol. 2022;12(9):e9281.