

Green medicine: reducing the environmental impact of healthcare systems.

Jerry Kai Chen*

School of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Introduction

The global healthcare sector, while essential for public well-being, is paradoxically a significant contributor to environmental degradation. From energy-intensive hospital buildings to the disposal of medical waste, healthcare operations contribute to air and water pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and the depletion of natural resources. The concept of "green medicine" addresses these challenges by aiming to reduce the environmental impact of healthcare systems while maintaining high standards of patient care [1].

The healthcare industry contributes significantly to the carbon footprint. In fact, studies estimate that healthcare systems account for about 4-5% of global greenhouse gas emissions, which makes them comparable to emissions from the aviation industry. This large carbon footprint is primarily driven by hospital energy consumption, medical equipment manufacturing, and the transportation of patients and medical supplies. Additionally, waste generated by hospitals, such as disposable gloves, syringes, packaging, and pharmaceutical by-products, further strains the environment [2].

Medical waste, especially, presents a serious environmental challenge. Inappropriate disposal methods, such as incineration and landfilling, release harmful pollutants into the atmosphere and can contaminate water sources. The use of toxic chemicals in medical products, and the reliance on single-use plastics, exacerbate the environmental burden [3].

Hospitals and healthcare facilities are major consumers of energy due to the continuous operation of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, medical equipment, and lighting. By adopting energy-efficient technologies such as LED lighting, renewable energy sources (e.g., solar panels), and energy-efficient heating and cooling systems, healthcare facilities can significantly reduce their energy consumption [4].

The materials used in healthcare, from pharmaceuticals to surgical instruments, can be sourced more sustainably. Healthcare institutions can opt for suppliers that prioritize environmentally friendly production processes, reduce packaging, and promote recyclable or biodegradable materials. Furthermore, sourcing local products can decrease the carbon footprint associated with transportation [5].

One of the most visible impacts of healthcare on the environment is the volume of waste it generates. Green medicine emphasizes reducing waste through better inventory

management, encouraging the reuse of medical equipment, and minimizing single-use plastics. Implementing proper waste segregation systems to differentiate between hazardous, recyclable, and general waste can also contribute to more efficient waste disposal practices [6].

Healthcare facilities are large consumers of water, particularly in areas like sterilization, laundry services, and patient care. By installing water-efficient fixtures, reusing water where possible (e.g., for landscaping), and implementing stricter water management policies, healthcare providers can reduce their water usage without compromising care quality [7].

The production, usage, and disposal of pharmaceuticals have significant environmental implications. Green medicine promotes the responsible use of medications to avoid over-prescription and wastage, as well as better systems for pharmaceutical disposal. The development of greener pharmaceutical products, which are less toxic and more biodegradable, is also a growing area of focus [8].

Governments and healthcare organizations must play a crucial role in promoting green medicine. Policies that mandate environmental sustainability in healthcare procurement, construction, and waste management can drive systemic change. Furthermore, financial incentives for hospitals and healthcare facilities to adopt green technologies, such as tax breaks for energy-efficient upgrades, can encourage the transition to more sustainable practices. Global collaborations are also necessary to set international standards for sustainable healthcare. Organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations (UN) can help create frameworks that guide countries in reducing the environmental impact of their healthcare systems while ensuring equity in access to care [9].

While the concept of green medicine is gaining traction, significant challenges remain. The upfront cost of implementing sustainable technologies and practices can be a barrier for many healthcare facilities, especially in low-income countries. There is also the challenge of balancing patient care with environmental goals, as some green initiatives may be perceived as compromising health outcomes. However, the long-term benefits of green medicine, both environmentally and economically, far outweigh the initial costs. By adopting greener practices, healthcare systems can reduce operational costs through energy savings and waste reduction while also contributing to global efforts to combat climate change [10].

*Correspondence to: Jerry Kai Chen, School of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taiwan, E-mail: jry@kchn.edu.tw

Received: 02-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. AAPHP-24-150676; Editor assigned: 04-Sep-2024, PreQC No. AAPHP-24-150676 (PQ); Reviewed: 16-Sep-2024, QC No. AAPHP-24-150676; Revised: 23-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. AAPHP-24-150676; Published: 30-Sep-2024, DOI: 10.35841/aaphp-8.5.254

Conclusion

Green medicine represents a critical shift in how we think about healthcare. As the world grapples with the climate crisis, healthcare systems must step up to reduce their environmental impact without sacrificing patient care. By integrating sustainability into every aspect of healthcare—from energy use to waste management—green medicine has the potential to transform healthcare into a sector that heals both people and the planet.

References

1. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, Maj M, Maselko J, Phillips MR, Rahman A. No health without mental health. *The lancet*. 2007 Sep ;370(9590):859-77.
2. Escobar JI, Vega WA. Mental health and immigration's AAAs: where are we and where do we go from here?. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 2000 Nov ;188(11):736-40.
3. Abuse S. Mental health services administration. *Results from the*. 2013 Jan ;2(013):55-68.
4. Kolappa K, Henderson DC, Kishore SP. No physical health without mental health: lessons unlearned?. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2013;91:3-a.
5. Bhugra D. Migration and mental health. *Acta psychiatrica scandinavica*. 2004 Apr;109(4):243-58.
6. Frank RG, McGuire TG. Economics and mental health. *Handbook of health economics*. 2000 Jan ;1:893-954.
7. Arango C, Díaz-Caneja CM, McGorry PD, Rapoport J, Sommer IE, Vorstman JA, McDaid D, Marín O, Serrano-Drozdowskyj E, Freedman R, Carpenter W. Preventive strategies for mental health. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2018 Jul ;5(7):591-604.
8. D'Alfonso S. AI in mental health. *Current opinion in psychology*. 2020 Dec ;36:112-7.
9. Thompson CE, Neville HA. Racism, mental health, and mental health practice. *The Counseling Psychologist*. 1999 Mar;27(2):155-223.
10. Gamm L, Stone S, Pittman S. Mental health and mental disorders—A rural challenge: A literature review. *Rural healthy people*. 2010 Jan;2(1):97-114.