

Factors Affecting Gestational Age Accuracy: Challenges and Solutions.

Jennifer Steiner*

Department of Obstetrics, Jena University Hospital, Germany

Introduction

Gestational age estimation is crucial in prenatal care, guiding obstetric management and predicting neonatal outcomes. However, several factors can influence the accuracy of gestational age assessment, posing challenges for healthcare providers. Understanding these factors and implementing appropriate solutions is essential for optimizing obstetric care. This article explores the various factors affecting gestational age accuracy, along with potential solutions to address these challenges (1).

The reliability of gestational age estimation based on LMP depends on the accuracy of menstrual cycle regularity and the mother's ability to recall her LMP date. Irregular menstrual cycles, contraceptive use, and maternal factors such as obesity can contribute to inaccuracies in LMP dating. **Solution:** Healthcare providers can employ alternative methods, such as early ultrasound dating or combining LMP with clinical assessment, to improve accuracy. Counseling patients on the importance of tracking menstrual cycles and providing tools for accurate LMP recording can also enhance reliability (2).

Fetal growth rates can vary among pregnancies, affecting the precision of gestational age estimation, particularly in late pregnancy. Factors such as genetic variability, maternal health conditions, and fetal anomalies can influence fetal growth trajectories, leading to discrepancies between expected and observed growth (3).

Solution: Regular fetal growth monitoring through ultrasound imaging and customized growth charts based on population-specific data can help account for variability in fetal growth rates. Close surveillance of high-risk pregnancies and serial ultrasound examinations enable timely detection of deviations from expected growth patterns, allowing for appropriate interventions (4).

Ultrasound remains a primary tool for gestational age assessment, but technical errors in image acquisition and measurement can affect accuracy. Factors such as fetal positioning, maternal body habitus, and operator expertise can introduce variability in ultrasound measurements (5).

Solution: Ensuring standardized ultrasound protocols, including proper fetal positioning and consistent measurement techniques, minimizes technical errors. Ongoing training and competency assessment for ultrasound operators enhance proficiency and reliability in fetal biometry measurement.

Quality assurance programs and regular calibration of ultrasound equipment further optimize accuracy (6).

Ethnic and racial differences in fetal growth and development have been observed, influencing the interpretation of gestational age estimates derived from population-specific norms. Gestational age charts based on one ethnic group may not accurately reflect the growth patterns of other populations.

Solution: Developing ethnicity-specific fetal biometry charts and reference standards accounts for variations in fetal growth among different ethnic and racial groups. Collaborative research efforts to collect and analyze data from diverse populations contribute to the creation of more inclusive and accurate gestational age assessment tools (7).

Determining gestational age accurately beyond term (post-term pregnancies) presents challenges due to variability in fetal and placental aging. Post-term pregnancies are associated with increased risks of adverse outcomes, necessitating precise gestational age assessment for timely intervention.

Solution: Combining multiple gestational age assessment methods, such as ultrasound dating, clinical assessment, and fetal monitoring, enhances accuracy in post-term pregnancies. Close fetal surveillance and consideration of individual risk factors aid in identifying pregnancies at higher risk for adverse outcomes and determining the optimal timing of delivery (8).

Maternal factors, including age, parity, medical history, and assisted reproductive technologies, can influence gestational age accuracy. Conditions such as gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, and maternal obesity may impact fetal growth and development, complicating gestational age assessment (9).

Solution: Comprehensive maternal history-taking and assessment, coupled with multidisciplinary collaboration among obstetricians, maternal-fetal medicine specialists, and other healthcare providers, facilitate personalized gestational age assessment and management. Tailoring care plans based on individual maternal factors and medical history improves the accuracy of gestational age estimation and enhances pregnancy outcomes (10).

Conclusion

Several factors can affect the accuracy of gestational age assessment in clinical practice, ranging from maternal-related variables to technical considerations in measurement techniques. Implementing solutions such as standardized

*Correspondence to: Jennifer Steiner, Department of Obstetrics, Jena University Hospital, Germany. E-mail: jennifs31@germany.com

Received: 29-Jan-2024, Manuscript No. AAPNM-24-126907; Editor assigned: 31-Jan-2024, PreQC No. AAPNM-24-126907(PQ); Reviewed: 14-Feb-2024, QC No. AAPNM-24-126907;

Revised: 19-Feb-2024, Manuscript No. AAPNM-24-126907(R); Published: 26-Feb-2024, DOI: 10.35841/aapnm-8.1.187

protocols, ethnicity-specific reference standards, and interdisciplinary collaboration addresses these challenges and optimizes gestational age accuracy. Continuous research and quality improvement efforts are essential for refining gestational age assessment methods and advancing obstetric care. By addressing these factors comprehensively, healthcare providers can ensure more precise gestational age estimation and improve maternal and neonatal outcomes.

References

1. Karim JN, Roberts NW, Salomon LJ, et al. Systematic review of first-trimester ultrasound screening for detection of fetal structural anomalies and factors that affect screening performance. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;50(4):429-41.
2. Zaki-Dizaji M, Shafiee A, Gargari OK, et al. Maternal and Fetal Factors Affecting Cell-Free Fetal DNA (cffDNA) Fraction: A Systematic Review. *J Reprod Infertil.* 2023;24(4):219.
3. Smith GC. Universal screening for foetal growth restriction. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2018;49:16-28.
4. Guillet C, Seeli C, Nina M, et al. The impact of gender and sex in psoriasis: What to be aware of when treating women with psoriasis. *Int J Womens Dermatol.* 2022;8(2):010.
5. Samuel TM, Zhou Q, Giuffrida F, et al. Nutritional and non-nutritional composition of human milk is modulated by maternal, infant, and methodological factors. *Front Nutr.* 2020;7:576133.
6. Tieu J, Coat S, Hague W, et al. Oral anti-diabetic agents for women with established diabetes/impaired glucose tolerance or previous gestational diabetes planning pregnancy, or pregnant women with pre-existing diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017(10).
7. Goncalves AN, Correia-Pinto J, Nogueira-Silva C. Imagiological methods for prediction of fetal pulmonary hypoplasia: a systematic review. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2021;34(9):1459-68.
8. Heazell AE, Hayes DJ, Whitworth M, et al. Biochemical tests of placental function versus ultrasound assessment of fetal size for stillbirth and small-for-gestational-age infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019(5).
9. Melchor JC, Khalil A, Wing D, et al. Prediction of preterm delivery in symptomatic women using PAMG-1, fetal fibronectin and pHIGFBP-1 tests: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;52(4):442-51.
10. Mills G, Badeghiesh A, Suarathana E, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome as an independent risk factor for gestational diabetes and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a population-based study on 9.1 million pregnancies. *Hum Reprod.* 2020;35(7):1666-74.