The role of cosmetic resurfacing in anti-aging treatments.

Ahmed Omran*

Department of Urology, Zagazig University, Egypt

Introduction

Aging affects the skin by reducing collagen production, increasing pigmentation, and leading to fine lines and wrinkles. This article explores the role of various cosmetic resurfacing techniques in combating the signs of aging, their benefits, and considerations for their use [1].

Laser resurfacing remains one of the most effective anti-aging treatments, using concentrated light energy to remove damaged skin layers and promote collagen synthesis. The two primary laser types include, Used for deep wrinkles and severe sun damage [2].

It effectively tightens sagging skin, reduces fine lines, and improves skin texture, making it a popular anti-aging choice [3].

Chemical peels use acids to accelerate skin renewal. Types include: Target fine lines and dullness. Address pigmentation and moderate wrinkles. Offer dramatic improvements for advanced aging sign. Mechanically removes damaged skin layers, reducing deep wrinkles and scars [4].

Gently exfoliates for a fresher appearance with minimal downtime. Plasma resurfacing uses ionized gas to create controlled skin regeneration, reducing wrinkles and improving elasticity without significant downtime. These advanced lasers deliver ultra-fast pulses to break down pigmentation, effectively treating sun spots, melasma, and age-related discoloration [5].

3D bioprinting technology is emerging as an innovative approach to repairing age-related skin damage by printing bio-inks containing growth factors and skin cells onto affected areas [6].

Hydrogen-infused treatments neutralize oxidative stress, reducing inflammation and redness after resurfacing procedures while enhancing long-term anti-aging benefits. AI-powered skincare tools now create customized post-resurfacing regimens, helping maintain results by recommending optimal serums, moisturizers, and sun protection tailored to individual skin needs [7].

Cosmetic resurfacing has become an essential part of anti-aging treatments, providing non-invasive to minimally invasive solutions to rejuvenate the skin [8].

Exosomes, derived from stem cells, enhance resurfacing treatments by accelerating healing, improving skin hydration, and boosting collagen production, leading to firmer, youthful skin [9].

Cosmetic resurfacing plays a crucial role in anti-aging treatments by reducing wrinkles, improving skin texture, and addressing pigmentation concerns. Stimulate collagen without removing surface layers, making them suitable for mild to moderate aging signs. FRM combines radiofrequency energy with microneedling to promote deep tissue collagen production [10].

Conclusion

Innovations such as laser resurfacing, microneedling, chemical peels, and exosome therapy offer effective solutions for maintaining youthful skin. Consulting with dermatologists ensures the best-suited resurfacing method for individual skin types and aging concerns.

References

1. Jensen P, Møller B, Hansen S. Skin cancer in kidney and heart transplant recipients and different long-term immunosuppressive therapy regimens. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2000;42(2):307.
2. Chastain MA, Millikan LE. Pilomatrix dysplasia in an immunosuppressed patient. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2000;43(1):118-22.
3. Harwood CA, Swale VJ, Bataille VA, et al. An association between sebaceous carcinoma and microsatellite instability in immunosuppressed organ transplant recipients. J Invest Dermatol. 2001;116(2):246-53.
4. Ohya Y, Williams H, Steptoe A, et al. Psychosocial factors and adherence to treatment advice in childhood atopic dermatitis. J Invest Dermatol. 2001;117(4):852-7.
5. Hogan DJ. Allergic contact dermatitis to ethylenediamine. A continuing problem. Dermatol Clin. 1990;8(1):133-6.
6. Adachi J, Endo K, Fukuzumi T, et al. Increasing incidence of streptococcal impetigo in atopic dermatitis. J Dermatol Sci. 1998;17(1):45-53.
7. De Benedetto A, Kubo A, Beck LA. Skin barrier disruption: a requirement for allergen sensitization? J Invest Dermatol. 2012;132(3):949-63.
8. Peroni DG, Piacentini GL, Bodini A, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for atopic dermatitis in preschool children. Br J Dermatol. 2008;158(3):539-43.

***Correspondence to:** Ahmed Omran, Department of Urology, Zagazig University, Egypt. E-mail: ahmed_omran@yahoo.com

***Received:** 03-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. AADRSC-25-161299; **Editor assigned:** 04-Feb-2025, PreQC No. AADRSC-25-161299(PQ); **Reviewed:** 17-Feb-2025, QC No AADRSC-25-161299; **Revised:** 22-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. AADRSC-25-161299(R); **Published:** 28-Feb-2025, DOI:10.35841/aadrsc-9.1.254*

***Citation:** Omran A. The role of cosmetic resurfacing in anti-aging treatments. Dermatol Res Skin Care. 2025; 9(1):254*

9. Mancini AJ, Kaulback K, Chamlin SL. The socioeconomic impact of atopic dermatitis in the United States: A systematic review. Pediatr Dermatol. 2008;25(1):1-6.
10. HC W. The UK Working Party's Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis. Br J Dermatol. 1994;131:406-16.

Citation: *Omran A. The role of cosmetic resurfacing in anti-aging treatments. Dermatol Res Skin Care. 2025; 9(1):254*