

The psychological impact of cosmetic resurfacing: Boosting confidence and self-esteem.

Thomas Strauss*

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA

Introduction

Cosmetic resurfacing procedures have gained popularity as a means to enhance physical appearance and address skin concerns. While primarily performed for aesthetic reasons, these treatments can have significant psychological effects, influencing self-esteem and confidence. This article explores the psychological impact of cosmetic resurfacing, discussing its benefits, potential risks, and the role of mental health professionals in ensuring positive outcomes. Evidence-based research highlights how improved appearance can lead to enhanced social interactions and mental well-being [1].

Cosmetic resurfacing includes various dermatological procedures aimed at improving skin texture, reducing scars, wrinkles, and hyperpigmentation. Popular treatments include laser resurfacing, chemical peels, and microdermabrasion. Beyond physical enhancement, these procedures can have profound psychological effects, influencing self-perception, self-esteem, and overall quality of life [2].

Studies indicate that individuals who undergo cosmetic procedures often report increased self-confidence and improved body image. Addressing visible skin concerns can reduce social anxiety and enhance social interactions [3].

Positive transformations in appearance can contribute to reduced symptoms of depression and anxiety. However, some individuals may experience dissatisfaction due to unrealistic expectations or post-procedural complications [4].

Enhanced physical appearance is often associated with greater career opportunities and positive social interactions. Improved skin appearance can contribute to increased self-assurance in professional and personal settings [5].

Effective in treating acne scars, wrinkles, and pigmentation, leading to significant improvements in self-image. Potential side effects such as redness or scarring may cause temporary psychological distress. Can enhance skin texture and tone, leading to a renewed sense of self-confidence [6].

Emotional well-being may be affected during the healing phase. A non-invasive procedure with minimal downtime, often yielding high satisfaction rates. Repeated sessions may be required for optimal psychological benefits [7].

Individuals with BDD may undergo multiple procedures without satisfaction, requiring psychological evaluation before treatment. Temporary side effects such as swelling or discoloration may lead to heightened self-consciousness [8].

Educating patients about achievable results is crucial in preventing post-treatment dissatisfaction. Pre-procedure counseling can help assess motivation and mental well-being. Psychologists can support individuals struggling with post-procedure adaptation [9].

Collaboration between dermatologists and mental health professionals ensures holistic patient care. Cosmetic resurfacing can significantly boost self-esteem and confidence, leading to improved mental well-being and social interactions [10].

Conclusion

While many patients experience positive outcomes, addressing psychological risks and ensuring realistic expectations are essential for patient satisfaction. Integrating dermatological expertise with psychological support enhances the benefits of cosmetic procedures, contributing to overall well-being.

References

1. Eigentler TK, Leiter U, Häfner HM, et al. Survival of patients with cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: Results of a prospective cohort study. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2017;137(11):2309-15.
2. Nehal KS, Bichakjian CK. Update on keratinocyte carcinomas. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(4):363-74.
3. Burton KA, Ashack KA, Khachemoune A. Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: A review of high-risk and metastatic disease. *Am J Clin Dermatol.* 2016;17:491-508.
4. Cohen JL. Actinic keratosis treatment as a key component of preventive strategies for nonmelanoma skin cancer. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol.* 2010;3(6):39.
5. Werner RN, Sammain A, Erdmann R, et al. The natural history of actinic keratosis: A systematic review. *Br J Dermatol.* 2013;169(3):502-18.
6. Ceilley RI, Jorizzo JL. Current issues in the management of actinic keratosis. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2013;68(1):S28-38.

*Correspondence to: Thomas Strauss, Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, China. E-mail: tho.astrauss@vcu.edu

Received: 03-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. AADRSC-25-161296; Editor assigned: 04-Feb-2025, PreQC No. AADRSC-25-161296(PQ); Reviewed: 17-Feb-2025, QC No. AADRSC-25-161296; Revised: 22-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. AADRSC-25-161296(R); Published: 28-Feb-2025, DOI:10.35841/aadrsc-9.1.251

7. Sotiriou E, Apalla Z, Vrani F, et al. Photodynamic therapy vs. imiquimod 5% cream as skin cancer preventive strategies in patients with field changes: A randomized intraindividual comparison study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2015;29(2):325-9.
8. Pearce DJ, Camacho F, Balkrishnan R, et al. Trends in on and off-label calcipotriene use. *J Dermatolog Treat.* 2006;17(5):308-13.
9. Sato-Deguchi E, Imafuku S, Chou B, et al. Topical vitamin D3 analogues induce thymic stromal lymphopoietin and cathelicidin in psoriatic skin lesions. *Br J Dermatol.* 2012;167(1):77-84.
10. Rougier A, Dupuis D, Lotte C, et al. Regional variation in percutaneous absorption in man: Measurement by the stripping method. *Arch Dermatol Res.* 1986;278:465-9.

Citation: Strauss T. *The psychological impact of cosmetic resurfacing: Boosting confidence and self-esteem.* *Dermatol Res Skin Care.* 2025; 9(1):251