

# Overcoming Irrationality: Strategies to Combat Cognitive Biases in Financial Decisions.

Rafi Shenn\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Management and Technology, Pakistan

## Introduction

Making sound financial decisions requires not just financial literacy but also an awareness of our own cognitive biases. These biases, or mental shortcuts, often lead us astray and can result in irrational financial choices. From buying stocks impulsively to underestimating risks, our minds are prone to various biases that can jeopardize our financial well-being. Recognizing and addressing these biases is crucial for making more rational and effective financial decisions. Here are some strategies to combat cognitive biases in financial matters: The first step in combating cognitive biases is to recognize and understand them. Educate yourself about common biases such as loss aversion (the tendency to strongly prefer avoiding losses over acquiring gains) and confirmation bias (the tendency to seek out information that confirms pre-existing beliefs). By becoming aware of these tendencies, you can start questioning your own thinking and decision-making process [1,2].

Many financial decisions are made impulsively. Slow down and take the time to deliberate. Avoid making decisions under pressure or in emotional states. By allowing yourself more time, you can reduce the influence of biases like anchoring (relying too heavily on the first piece of information encountered) and framing (being swayed by how information is presented). Consult with others before making major financial decisions. Seek out diverse opinions and viewpoints. This can help counteract biases like groupthink (the desire for harmony or conformity within a group). By inviting different perspectives, you can gain insights that challenge your own biases and assumptions [3,4].

Employ decision-making frameworks or tools that encourage a structured approach. For example, consider using a decision matrix to weigh pros and cons objectively. Tools like this can mitigate the impact of biases such as overconfidence (believing in one's own abilities or judgments more than justified by evidence). Establish clear financial goals and guidelines in advance. This can help anchor your decisions to an objective framework rather than relying solely on intuition or emotions. Having predefined rules can counteract biases such as mental accounting (treating money differently based on its source) and the endowment effect (valuing something more merely because you own it) [5,6].

Regularly challenge your assumptions and conclusions. Ask yourself why you believe what you believe. This can help

counter confirmation bias and encourage a more balanced consideration of information. Instead of relying solely on forecasts or predictions, use simulations and scenario analysis to understand the range of potential outcomes. This approach can counteract biases related to over-optimism or over-pessimism about future events [7,8].

Put mechanisms in place to review and evaluate decisions objectively. Consider setting up automatic triggers for reassessment or involving a trusted advisor or partner in decision-making processes. This can mitigate biases related to overconfidence and anchoring. Finally, embrace a mindset of continuous improvement. Reflect on past decisions and outcomes, especially when things go wrong. Learning from mistakes can help you recognize recurring biases and refine your decision-making process over time [9,10].

## Conclusion

In conclusion, cognitive biases are inherent in human thinking and can significantly impact financial decisions. However, by adopting strategies that promote awareness, deliberation, and objectivity, individuals can mitigate the influence of these biases and make more rational financial choices. Overcoming irrationality in financial decision-making is an ongoing journey that requires self-awareness, education, and a commitment to applying behavioral insights to achieve better outcomes. By incorporating these strategies into your financial planning, you can navigate the complexities of money management with greater clarity and confidence.

## References

1. Serrano-Pozo A, Das S, Hyman BT. APOE and Alzheimer's disease: Advances in genetics, pathophysiology, and therapeutic approaches. *Lancet Neurology*. 2021;20(1):68-80.
2. Khan S, Barve KH, Kumar MS. Recent advancements in pathogenesis, diagnostics and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Curr Neuropharmacol*. 2020;18(11):1106-25.
3. Naseri NN, Wang H, Guo J, et al. The complexity of tau in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci Lett*. 2019;705:183-94.
4. Graff-Radford J, Yong KX, Apostolova LG, et al. New insights into a typical Alzheimer's disease in the era of biomarkers. *Lancet Neurology*. 2021;20(3):222-34.

\*Correspondence to: Rafi Shenn, Department of Mathematics, University of Management and Technology, Pakistan. E-mail: rfi.shrn@umt.edu.pk

Received: 26-Dec-2023, Manuscript No. AAJPC-24-135542; Editor assigned: 28-Dec-2023, PreQC No. AAJPC-24-135542 (PQ); Reviewed: 11-Jan-2024, QC No. AAJPC-24-135542;

Revised: 17-Jan-2024, Manuscript No. AAJPC-24-135542 (R); Published: 22-Jan-2024, DOI: 10.35841/aaips-9.1.211

5. Hane FT, Robinson M, Lee BY, et al. Recent progress in Alzheimer's disease research, part 3: Diagnosis and treatment. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2017;57(3):645-65.
6. Ballard R, Perez-Reisler M. Developmental aspects of pediatric mental health. *Pediatr Ann.* 2020;49(10):e426-30.
7. Bauger L, Bongaardt R. Structural developmental psychology and health promotion in the third age. *Health Promot Int.* 2018;33(4):686-94.
8. Chastain EJ. Information Theory, Developmental Psychology, and the Baldwin Effect. *Front Neurobot.* 2018;12:52.
9. Frankenhuis WE, Tiokhin L. Bridging evolutionary biology and developmental psychology: Toward an enduring theoretical infrastructure. *Child Dev.* 2018;89(6):2303-6.
10. Kline MA, Shamsudheen R, Broesch T. Variation is the universal: Making cultural evolution work in developmental psychology. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2018;373(1743):20170059.