Mental fatigue in neurological disorders: From subjective experience to objective measurement.

Thomas Gonon*

Department of Neuroscience, University of Bordeaux, France

Introduction

Mental fatigue is a pervasive and often debilitating symptom experienced in various neurological disorders. Characterized by a feeling of exhaustion, lack of motivation, and diminished mental capacity after cognitive exertion, it affects daily functioning, productivity, and overall quality of life. Unlike physical fatigue, which is related to muscle exhaustion, mental fatigue primarily impacts cognitive processes such as attention, memory, and decision-making. Its subjective nature makes it difficult to quantify and assess, particularly in individuals with neurological conditions where cognitive deficits may already be present. This article explores the underlying mechanisms, subjective experiences, and emerging methods for objectively measuring mental fatigue in the context of neurological disorders [1].

Mental fatigue is a common feature of several neurological disorders, including multiple sclerosis (MS), Parkinson's disease, traumatic brain injury (TBI), stroke, and epilepsy. In these conditions, patients frequently report an overwhelming sense of tiredness, even after short periods of mental activity. For example, in MS, mental fatigue is often associated with cognitive impairments, exacerbating difficulties with concentration and problem-solving. Similarly, individuals recovering from a stroke may experience mental fatigue that interferes with their rehabilitation and long-term cognitive recovery. This fatigue is not merely a consequence of cognitive deficits but rather a distinct symptom that reflects the brain's impaired ability to maintain cognitive performance over time [2].

One of the greatest challenges in studying mental fatigue is its subjective nature. Patients often describe it as a profound weariness that impairs their mental sharpness and ability to focus. They may experience this as an inability to sustain attention, slower thought processes, or a feeling of mental "heaviness." Unlike physical fatigue, which can be more readily quantified through physiological markers like muscle tension or energy expenditure, mental fatigue is predominantly self-reported, making it difficult to compare across individuals and populations. The subjective reports are influenced by mood, stress, and even cultural factors, adding complexity to its assessment [3].

The cognitive and neurobiological mechanisms underlying mental fatigue remain the subject of ongoing research. Mental fatigue is thought to arise from disruptions in brain regions responsible for cognitive control, particularly the prefrontal cortex, which is heavily involved in maintaining attention and executive function. Neuroimaging studies have shown that mental fatigue is associated with reduced activation in these regions, along with impaired connectivity between the prefrontal cortex and other parts of the brain, such as the parietal and limbic regions. The depletion of neurotransmitters like dopamine, which is crucial for sustained cognitive effort, is also implicated in the onset of mental fatigue [4].

Historically, mental fatigue has been measured through subjective questionnaires and self-report scales. Common tools include the Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS), the Modified Fatigue Impact Scale (MFIS), and the Mental Fatigue Scale (MFS). These instruments ask patients to rate their fatigue levels in relation to various activities and times of day. While these scales provide valuable insights into the patient's experience, they are limited by their reliance on subjective reporting, which can be influenced by various factors unrelated to actual fatigue levels, such as emotional state or the patient’s expectations [5].

To complement subjective measures, researchers have developed objective methods to assess mental fatigue. These often involve cognitive tasks that are sensitive to fatigue-induced declines in performance. For instance, tasks requiring sustained attention or working memory, such as the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT), are commonly used to measure cognitive fatigue. A decline in performance over time on these tasks is considered an objective indicator of mental fatigue. Reaction time, accuracy, and error rates provide quantitative data that can help distinguish mental fatigue from other cognitive impairments [6].

Advances in neurophysiological and neuroimaging techniques offer promising ways to objectively measure mental fatigue. Electroencephalography (EEG) studies have shown that mental fatigue is associated with specific changes in brainwave activity, particularly in the theta and alpha frequency bands. These alterations reflect reduced cognitive efficiency and attentional capacity. Similarly, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have revealed reduced blood flow and activation in key brain areas involved in cognitive control during tasks that induce mental fatigue. By using these techniques, researchers can detect brain changes that

***Correspondence to:** Thomas Gonon, Department of Neuroscience, University of Bordeaux, France, E mail: thomas.gonon@u-bordeaux.fr

***Received:** 1-Oct-2024, Manuscript No. aacnj-24-148957; **Editor assigned:** 3-Oct-2024, PreQC No. aacnj-24-148957 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 17-Oct-2024, QC No. aacnj-24-148957; **Revised**: 24-Oct-2024, Manuscript No. aacnj-24-148957 (R); **Published**: 30-Oct-2024, DOI:10.35841/aacnj-7.5.227.*

***Citation:** Gonon T. Mental fatigue in neurological disorders: From subjective experience to objective measurement. J Cogn Neurosci. 2024;7(5):227.*

correspond to the subjective feeling of fatigue, providing a more objective measure of the condition [7].

In recent years, there has been growing interest in identifying biomarkers that can objectively measure mental fatigue. These biomarkers could be neurochemical, such as alterations in dopamine or serotonin levels, or physiological, such as changes in heart rate variability (HRV) or cortisol levels, which are indicators of stress and cognitive load. The identification of reliable biomarkers would provide a crucial tool for assessing mental fatigue in clinical populations, offering a more objective and reproducible way to measure fatigue across different individuals and contexts [8].

Mental fatigue not only impairs momentary cognitive performance but may also contribute to long-term cognitive decline in neurological disorders. In conditions like MS or Parkinson's disease, chronic mental fatigue can exacerbate underlying cognitive deficits and hinder the ability to engage in cognitive rehabilitation. This cyclical relationship between cognitive dysfunction and fatigue underscores the need for effective interventions that address both issues simultaneously. Understanding the neural mechanisms that link fatigue to cognitive decline could lead to more targeted treatments [9].

Addressing mental fatigue in neurological disorders requires a multimodal approach that includes pharmacological interventions, cognitive training, and lifestyle modifications. Medications that enhance neurotransmitter function, such as dopamine agonists, have shown promise in reducing fatigue in conditions like Parkinson's disease. Cognitive training programs that target attention and working memory may help patients build resilience against fatigue. Additionally, lifestyle interventions such as regular exercise, adequate sleep, and stress management can mitigate the impact of mental fatigue on daily functioning [10].

Conclusion

Mental fatigue is a significant and often underappreciated symptom in neurological disorders, profoundly affecting patients' quality of life. While subjective self-report measures remain the most common way to assess mental fatigue, advances in objective measurement techniques, including cognitive tasks, neuroimaging, and biomarkers, offer new avenues for understanding and treating this condition. As research progresses, the integration of subjective and objective measures will be essential for developing more effective interventions that target the neurobiological underpinnings of mental fatigue in neurological populations. By refining our assessment tools, we can move towards more personalized and precise treatments, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

References

1. Johansson B, Starmark A, Berglund P, et al. A self-assessment questionnaire for mental fatigue and related symptoms after neurological disorders and injuries. Brain Injury. 2010;24(1):2-12.
2. Chaudhuri A, Behan PO. Fatigue in neurological disorders. The lancet. 2004;363(9413):978-88.
3. LaChapelle DL, Finlayson MA. An evaluation of subjective and objective measures of fatigue in patients with brain injury and healthy controls. Brain injury. 1998;12(8):649-59.
4. Belmont A, Agar N, Azouvi P. Subjective fatigue, mental effort, and attention deficits after severe traumatic brain injury. Neurorehab Neural Re. 2009;23(9):939-44.
5. Bol Y, Duits AA, Verhey FR, et al. Subjective and objective assessment of mental fatigue in patients with multiple sclerosis. Understanding fatigue in multiple sclerosis. 2010;51.
6. Ziino C, Ponsford J. Measurement and prediction of subjective fatigue following traumatic brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2005;11(4):416-25.
7. Zwarts MJ, Bleijenberg G, Van Engelen BG. Clinical neurophysiology of fatigue. Clin Neurophysiol. 2008;119(1):2-10.
8. Penner IK, Paul F. Fatigue as a symptom or comorbidity of neurological diseases. Nat Rev Neurol. 2017;13(11):662-75.
9. Tyson SF, Brown P. How to measure fatigue in neurological conditions? A systematic review of psychometric properties and clinical utility of measures used so far. Clin Rehabil. 2014;28(8):804-16.
10. Johansson B, Berglund P, Rönnbäck L. Mental fatigue and impaired information processing after mild and moderate traumatic brain injury. Brain injury. 2009;23(13-14):1027-40.

Citation: *Gonon T. Mental fatigue in neurological disorders: From subjective experience to objective measurement. J Cogn Neurosci. 2024;7(5):227.*