# Gender differences in addiction and crime: A comparative study.

## **Davidson Johnny\***

Department of Psychiatry, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

## Introduction

Addiction and crime are complex phenomena that intertwine in various ways, influencing individuals and society at large. Gender differences play a significant role in how addiction manifests and its subsequent impact on criminal behavior. This comparative study explores the nuanced relationships between gender, addiction, and crime, highlighting key differences, societal implications, and potential pathways for intervention [1].

Addiction affects men and women differently, both in terms of prevalence and progression. Research indicates that men are more likely to engage in substance use and develop addiction at higher rates. This disparity often stems from societal norms that associate risk-taking behaviors with masculinity. Men are more prone to use substances like alcohol, cocaine, and cannabis, often initiating use at an earlier age. In contrast, women tend to progress from initial use to addiction more rapidly, a phenomenon known as telescoping [2].

Biological factors also contribute to these differences. Women's bodies metabolize substances differently due to hormonal fluctuations and lower body mass. These physiological factors can exacerbate the effects of addiction, making women more susceptible to health complications and dependency. Additionally, women are more likely to use substances as a coping mechanism for trauma, anxiety, or depression, often rooted in experiences of abuse or domestic violence [3].

Addiction often serves as a precursor to criminal behavior, albeit through distinct mechanisms for men and women. Men with addiction issues are more likely to commit crimes involving violence, theft, or drug trafficking. The link between substance use and aggressive behavior is well-documented, driven by impaired judgment, peer influence, and societal pressures [4].

For women, addiction-related crime often revolves around survival. Many women engage in crimes such as shoplifting, prostitution, or drug possession to sustain their addiction or escape abusive environments. The intersection of addiction, poverty, and victimization creates a cycle that is difficult to break. Furthermore, women are more likely to face stigma and marginalization due to their addiction, which can exacerbate their involvement in crime [5].

The criminal justice system's response to addiction-related crime often reflects gender biases. Men are more likely to

receive harsher sentences for violent crimes, whereas women may face punitive measures that fail to account for the underlying causes of their addiction and criminal behavior. Women with addiction issues are often perceived as unfit mothers, leading to additional consequences such as the loss of child custody [6].

Moreover, incarceration experiences differ significantly between genders. Women in prison are more likely to have histories of trauma and mental health issues, yet they often lack access to adequate treatment programs. Men, while facing different challenges, also encounter systemic gaps in addressing addiction within the criminal justice framework [7].

The gendered dynamics of addiction and crime have farreaching societal implications. Addiction-driven crime contributes to overburdened legal systems, crowded prisons, and significant economic costs. For men, addiction often exacerbates cycles of violence and recidivism, perpetuating community instability. For women, the consequences ripple through families, as maternal incarceration disrupts familial structures and adversely affects children [8].

Stigma surrounding addiction and crime disproportionately affects women, further complicating their reintegration into society. The societal narrative often paints women with addiction issues as morally flawed, neglecting the structural and psychological factors that contribute to their circumstances. This double standard hinders effective rehabilitation and perpetuates gender disparities [9].

Addressing gender differences in addiction and crime requires tailored interventions that consider the unique needs of men and women. Gender-responsive approaches can enhance prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation efforts [10].

#### Conclusion

Gender differences in addiction and crime underscore the need for a nuanced approach to understanding and addressing these intertwined issues. While men and women experience addiction and its criminal implications differently, both groups require targeted interventions that consider their unique challenges. By fostering a gender-responsive framework, society can better address the root causes of addictiondriven crime, reduce recidivism, and promote healthier, more inclusive communities. Ultimately, acknowledging and addressing these gendered dynamics is a critical step toward a more equitable and effective response to addiction and crime.

\*Correspondence to: Davidson Johnny, Department of Psychiatry, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. E-mail: davidson.j@manchester.ac.uk Received: 02-Dec-2024, Manuscript No. AARA-24- 155279; Editor assigned: 03-Dec-2024, PreQC No. AARA-24- 155279 (PQ); Reviewed: 17-Dec-2024, QC No. AARA-24- 155279; Revised: 23-Dec-2024, Manuscript No. AARA-24- 155279 (R); Published: 30-Dec-2024, DOI: 10.35841/aara-7.6.240

Citation: Johnny D. Gender differences in addiction and crime: A comparative study. Addict Criminol. 2024;7(6):240

#### References

- 1. Hall SM, Muñoz RF, Reus VI, et al. Nicotine, negative affect, and depression. J Consult Clinic Psychol. 1993;61(5):761.
- Son BK, Markovitz JH, Winders S, et al. Smoking, nicotine dependence, and depressive symptoms in the CARDIA study: Effects of educational status. J Amer Epidem. 1997;145(2):110-6.
- Covey LS, Glassman AH, Stetner F. Depression and depressive symptoms in smoking cessation. Comprehen Psych. 1990;31(4):350-4.
- 4. Levin ED, Conners CK, Silva D, et al. Effects of chronic nicotine and methylphenidate in adults with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Experim Clinic Psychopharmacol. 2001;9(1):83.
- 5. Stanton MD, Shadish WR. Outcome, attrition, and familycouples treatment for drug abuse: A meta-analysis and

review of the controlled, comparative studies. Psychol Bull. 1997;122(2):170.

- Dackis C, O'Brien C. Neurobiology of addiction: treatment and public policy ramifications. Nat Neurosci. 2005;8(11):1431-6.
- 7. Phillips KA, Epstein DH, Preston KL. Psychostimulant addiction treatment. Neuropharmacol. 2014;87:150-60.
- 8. McLellan AT, McKay JR, Forman R, et al. Reconsidering the evaluation of addiction treatment: from retrospective follow-up to concurrent recovery monitoring. Addict. 2005;100(4):447-58.
- DiClemente CC, Schlundt D, Gemmell L. Readiness and stages of change in addiction treatment. Am J Addict. 2004;13(2):103-19.
- 10. Adam CD. Experimental and theoretical studies into the release of blood droplets from weapon tips. Forensic Sci Int. 2019;303:109934.