

# Exploring the potential of bispecific antibodies in clinical cancer immunology.

Alan Gould\*

Department of Dermatology, Division of Internal Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, USA

## Introduction

Cancer remains one of the leading causes of death globally, with an estimated 19.3 million new cases and 10 million cancer-related deaths in 2020. Traditional treatment modalities, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, have shown limitations, particularly in terms of specificity and associated toxicities. This has spurred the development of novel therapeutic approaches, one of which is bispecific antibodies (bsAbs). These engineered molecules can simultaneously bind two different antigens, thus enhancing the specificity and efficacy of cancer treatment. This article explores the mechanisms, advantages, clinical applications, and future directions of bispecific antibodies in cancer immunology [1].

Bispecific antibodies function by engaging two distinct targets, which can be either tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) or immune cell surface receptors. The most common mechanism involves the recruitment of immune effector cells, such as T cells, to the tumor microenvironment. For instance, bispecific T-cell engagers (BiTEs) link CD3 on T cells with specific tumor antigens, facilitating T-cell activation and tumor cell lysis (Meyer et al., 2017). This mechanism not only enhances anti-tumor immunity but also allows for a more localized attack on cancer cells, potentially reducing off-target effects [2].

By targeting both tumor cells and immune effector cells, bispecific antibodies can enhance the specificity of treatment, reducing collateral damage to healthy tissues. Bispecific antibodies can be designed to target multiple antigens, enabling the simultaneous attack on heterogeneous tumor populations, which is critical given the complexity of cancer biology [3].

Clinical studies have demonstrated that bsAbs can lead to higher rates of tumor response compared to traditional monoclonal antibodies, as they can mobilize immune cells directly to the tumor site. Bispecific antibodies can be engineered to engage immune checkpoints, enhancing the immune response and potentially overcoming resistance to existing immunotherapies [4].

The most advanced applications of bispecific antibodies have been seen in hematologic malignancies. Blinatumomab, a bispecific T-cell engager targeting CD19 and CD3, has been approved for the treatment of relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Clinical trials have shown

that Blinatumomab leads to high remission rates, with some studies reporting complete remission in over 80% of treated patients [5].

The application of bispecific antibodies in solid tumors presents unique challenges, including the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and the need for effective tumor infiltration. Several candidates are currently in clinical trials targeting antigens such as HER2, EGFR, and mesothelin. For example, the bispecific antibody ABBV-181, targeting PD-1 and EGFR, is designed to enhance T-cell activation while simultaneously inhibiting immune checkpoints, demonstrating promising early results in patients with solid tumors [6].

The potential for bispecific antibodies to be used in combination with other immunotherapies, such as checkpoint inhibitors and CAR T-cell therapy, is a growing area of interest. Preliminary studies suggest that combining bispecific antibodies with other treatments can enhance overall efficacy and overcome resistance mechanisms. For example, combining a bispecific antibody with an anti-PD-1 inhibitor has shown enhanced anti-tumor activity in preclinical models, suggesting a synergistic effect [7].

Despite the promising potential of bispecific antibodies, several challenges remain. The production of bispecific antibodies is more complex than traditional monoclonal antibodies, which can pose challenges in scalability and consistency [8].

Bispecific antibodies may elicit immune responses that could neutralize their therapeutic effects, leading to reduced efficacy or adverse reactions. The immunosuppressive nature of the tumor microenvironment can inhibit the effectiveness of bispecific antibodies, necessitating strategies to modify the microenvironment to enhance T-cell infiltration and activity [9].

Future research will focus on optimizing bispecific antibody designs, enhancing their stability and reducing immunogenicity, and combining them with other therapeutic modalities to maximize their potential. Additionally, the identification of novel tumor antigens and immune checkpoints will expand the repertoire of bispecific antibodies available for clinical use [10].

## Conclusion

Bispecific antibodies represent a significant advancement in cancer immunotherapy, offering a versatile and effective

\*Correspondence to: Alan Gould, Department of Dermatology, Division of Internal Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, USA. E-mail: [alngud@uth.tmc.edu](mailto:alngud@uth.tmc.edu)

Received: 27-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. AAJCIT-24-150269; Editor assigned: 28-Sep-2024, Pre QC No. AAJCIT-24-150269(PQ); Reviewed: 14-Oct-2024, QC No. AAJCIT-24-150269; Revised: 19-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. AAJCIT-24-150269(R); Published: 28-Sep-2024, DOI:10.35841/aajit-7.5.229

approach to treating various malignancies. Their ability to engage both tumor cells and immune effector cells enhances specificity and efficacy, making them a valuable addition to the therapeutic arsenal against cancer. As ongoing research continues to refine these innovative therapies, bispecific antibodies hold the potential to improve patient outcomes and pave the way for a new era in cancer treatment.

## References

1. Wang M, Rao J, Wang M, Li X, Liu K, Naylor MF, Nordquist RE, Chen WR, Zhou F. Cancer photo-immunotherapy: From bench to bedside. *Theranostics*. 2021;11(5):2218.
2. Strohl WR, Naso M. Bispecific T-cell redirection versus chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells as approaches to kill cancer cells. *Antibodies*. 2019;8(3):41.
3. Macpherson AM, Barry SC, Ricciardelli C, Oehler MK. Epithelial ovarian cancer and the immune system: biology, interactions, challenges and potential advances for immunotherapy. *J Clin Med*. 2020;9(9):2967.
4. Starska-Kowarska K. The role of different immunocompetent cell populations in the pathogenesis of head and neck cancer—regulatory mechanisms of pro-and anti-cancer activity and their impact on immunotherapy. *Cancers*. 2023;15(6):1642.
5. Britten CM, Shalabi A, Hoos A. Industrializing engineered autologous T cells as medicines for solid tumours. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2021;20(6):476-88.
6. Seo H, Verma A, Kinzel M, Huang Q, Mahoney DJ, Jacquelot N. Targeting Potential of Innate Lymphoid Cells in Melanoma and Other Cancers. *Pharmaceut*. 2023;15(7):2001.
7. Davidson-Moncada J, Viboch E, Church SE, Warren SE, Rutella S. Dissecting the immune landscape of acute myeloid leukemia. *Biomed*. 2018;6(4):110.
8. Sendker S, Reinhardt D, Niktoreh N. Redirecting the immune microenvironment in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancers*. 2021;13(6):1423.
9. Zhang J, Yi J, Zhou P. Development of bispecific antibodies in China: overview and prospects. *Antibody therapeut*. 2020;3(2):126-45.
10. Li H, Er Saw P, Song E. Challenges and strategies for next-generation bispecific antibody-based antitumor therapeutics. *Cell Mol Immunol*. 2020;17(5):451-61.