

Enhancing food safety: The role of antimicrobial air filters in food processing.

Ajilin Saao*

Department of Agricultural Engineering, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria

Introduction

A wide range of apparatus, appliances, and machinery used in the preparation, cooking, serving, and storing of food in a variety of commercial and institutional contexts is referred to as food service equipment. These locations could be eateries, lodging facilities, cafeterias, caterers, medical facilities, and other establishments that deal with food on a bigger scale. In the food sector, food service equipment is essential for increasing productivity, efficiency, and safety. Efficient food preparation and serving equipment is engineered to fulfill health and safety regulations, enhance operational efficiency, and augment total productivity. In order to prevent pathogens from entering the food being prepared, a lot of food service equipment products are also built using materials that adhere to food safety laws [1, 2].

In the food processing industry, maintaining a clean and hygienic environment is paramount to ensure the safety and quality of the final food products. Air quality is a critical factor in this equation, as airborne contaminants can pose a significant threat to the integrity of the production process. One innovative solution gaining traction in the industry is the use of antimicrobial air filters. These filters not only contribute to a healthier working environment but also play a crucial role in preventing microbial contamination in food processing facilities [3, 4].

Airborne contaminants such as bacteria, mold, and viruses can jeopardize the safety and quality of food products during processing. In a sector where hygiene standards are non-negotiable, maintaining a controlled and clean air environment is essential. Air quality impacts not only the health and safety of the workforce but also influences the shelf life and quality of the final food products [5, 6].

Traditional air filtration systems are effective in removing larger particles from the air, but they may fall short when it comes to microbial contaminants. Antimicrobial air filters are specially designed to address this gap. These filters are equipped with coatings or additives that actively inhibit the growth and spread of bacteria, fungi, and other microorganisms within the filtration system. Antimicrobial air filters actively target and neutralize microorganisms, preventing them from circulating in the air and settling on surfaces within the food processing facility. The antimicrobial properties not only

enhance air quality but also contribute to the longevity of the filters. This can result in cost savings for the facility by reducing the frequency of filter replacements [7, 8].

The use of antimicrobial air filters aligns with stringent hygiene and safety regulations governing the food processing industry. Meeting or exceeding these standards is essential for maintaining operational licenses and ensuring consumer trust. By actively combating microbial growth, these filters significantly reduce the risk of airborne contaminants entering the production process, thus safeguarding the integrity of the final food products. Integrating antimicrobial air filters into existing ventilation and air handling systems is a straightforward process. Many filter manufacturers offer customizable solutions to suit the specific needs of different facilities. Regular monitoring and maintenance ensure that the filters continue to operate at peak efficiency, providing ongoing protection against microbial threats [9, 10].

Conclusion

In the ever-evolving landscape of food safety, the adoption of antimicrobial air filters stands out as a proactive measure to enhance hygiene standards in food processing facilities. As technology continues to advance, the industry can look forward to even more innovative solutions that contribute to the overall safety and quality of the food supply chain. Embracing these advancements not only safeguards the reputation of food processors but also reinforces the commitment to delivering safe and wholesome products to consumers worldwide.

Reference

1. Valerio F, Di Biase M, Huchet V, et al. Comparison of three *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strains growth behaviour and evaluation of the spoilage risk during bread shelf-life. *Food Microbiol.* 2015;45:2-9.
2. Vilain S, Luo Y, Hildreth MB, et al. Analysis of the life cycle of the soil saprophyte *Bacillus cereus* in liquid soil extract and in soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2006;72(7):49707.
3. Yahata Y, Misaki T, Ishida Y, et al. Epidemiological analysis of a large enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O111 outbreak in Japan associated with haemolytic uraemic syndrome and acute encephalopathy. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2015;143(13):2721-32.

*Correspondence to: Ajilin Saao, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria. E-mail: ibola@adao.ng

Received: 01-Nov-2024, Manuscript No. AAFMY-24-155759; Editor assigned: 02-Nov-2024, PreQC No. AAFMY-24-155759(PQ); Reviewed: 18-Nov-2024, QC No. AAFMY-24-155753;

Revised: 22-Nov-2024, Manuscript No. AAFMY-24-155759(R); Published: 29-Nov-2024, DOI:10.35841/aafmy-8.6.233

4. Yamazaki K, Teduka H, Shinano H. Isolation and identification of *Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris* from acidic beverage. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*. 1996;60(3):543-5.
5. Yang H, Li Y, Johnson MG. Survival and death of *Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Campylobacter jejuni* in processing water and on chicken skin during poultry scalding and chilling. *J Food Prot*. 2001;64(6):770-6.
6. Downing NS, Shah ND, Aminawung JA, et al. Postmarket safety events among novel therapeutics approved by the US Food and Drug Administration between 2001 and 2010. *Jama*. 2017;317(18):1854-63.
7. Kubota KA, Wolfgang WJ, Baker DJ, et al. Pulse Net and the changing paradigm of laboratory-based surveillance for foodborne diseases. *Public Health Rep*. 2019;134:22S-8S.
8. Baron P, Frattaroli S. Awareness and perceptions of food safety risks and risk management in poultry production and slaughter: A qualitative study of direct-market poultry producers in Maryland. *PloS One*. 2016;11(6):0158412.
9. Mullins E, Bresson JL, Dalmay T, et al. Statement complementing the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the assessment of genetically modified oilseed rape MS11 for food and feed uses, import and processing, under Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 (application EFSA-GMO-BE-2016-138). *EFSA J*. 2022;20(3):07190.
10. Fernandez MA, Desroches S, Turcotte M, et al. Factors influencing the adoption of a healthy eating campaign by federal cross-sector partners: a qualitative study. *BMC Pub Heal*. 2016;16(1):1-2.