

Ecosystem services valuation: The economic benefits of healthy ecosystems.

Maya Mizrahi*

Department of Public Health, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel

Ecosystems, from forests to oceans, provide a multitude of services that sustain life on Earth. These "ecosystem services" range from the purification of air and water to climate regulation, pollination, and nutrient cycling. Yet, despite their crucial importance, these services are often taken for granted. The valuation of ecosystem services is a way to quantify the economic benefits of healthy ecosystems and highlight the often invisible role they play in both global and local economies [1, 2].

Ecosystems regulate various natural processes, including climate, water flow, and disease control. Wetlands, for instance, act as natural water filters, removing pollutants from water and reducing the risk of flooding. These include the non-material benefits humans obtain from ecosystems, such as recreation, spiritual enrichment, and aesthetic enjoyment. National parks and protected areas attract millions of tourists, providing economic benefits to local communities. These are the underlying processes that enable other ecosystem services to function, such as nutrient cycling, soil formation, and photosynthesis. Without these fundamental processes, life on Earth would not be possible [3].

Historically, ecosystems have been undervalued in economic decision-making because their services were not assigned a direct monetary value. However, recent advances in environmental economics have enabled researchers to estimate the financial worth of ecosystem services. The valuation process involves assessing the cost of replacing these services with human-made alternatives, the economic benefits derived from them, or the potential losses incurred if ecosystems are degraded. One method of valuation is estimating the cost of replacing ecosystem services with artificial solutions. For instance, if a wetland is destroyed, the cost of building water treatment facilities to replace its natural filtration services can be substantial. Another approach involves measuring how much people are willing to pay to maintain or restore ecosystem services. This method is often used to value cultural services such as the aesthetic and recreational value of landscapes. Healthy ecosystems help avoid economic costs by mitigating natural disasters. For example, coastal mangroves protect against storm surges, and the loss of these ecosystems could lead to higher damage costs from flooding [4, 5].

When ecosystems degrade or collapse, the economic consequences can be severe. Deforestation, for example, not only leads to biodiversity loss but also reduces the ability of forests to sequester carbon, exacerbating climate change.

Degraded ecosystems are less resilient to shocks, such as extreme weather events, and are less capable of providing essential services like water purification and disease regulation. The ongoing destruction of the Amazon rainforest, which is critical for carbon storage and climate regulation, presents a stark example of the long-term costs of ecosystem degradation. If current trends continue, the loss of ecosystem services from the Amazon could lead to increased global warming, agricultural disruption, and loss of livelihoods for indigenous and local communities [6].

Ecosystem services valuation is a powerful tool for promoting sustainable development. By assigning a monetary value to these services, decision-makers are better equipped to understand the trade-offs involved in land-use changes and resource exploitation. Incorporating the value of ecosystems into policy and economic planning helps ensure that development does not come at the cost of environmental degradation. For instance, Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) schemes allow governments or private entities to compensate landowners for managing their land in ways that conserve or restore ecosystem services. Such programs provide incentives for sustainable land management, promoting both environmental and economic sustainability [7, 8].

The valuation of ecosystem services is essential for understanding the full economic benefits of healthy ecosystems. As human populations grow and the pressure on natural resources increases, recognizing and investing in the preservation of these services becomes even more critical. By integrating the value of ecosystems into economic systems, we can ensure that future development is both prosperous and sustainable, benefiting not only current generations but also those to come [9, 10].

References

1. Mol MP, Zolnikov TR, Neves AC, et al. Healthcare waste generation in hospitals per continent: a systematic review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2022;29(28):42466-75.
2. Slutzman JE, Bockius H, Gordon IO, et al. Waste audits in healthcare: a systematic review and description of best practices. *Waste Manag Res.* 2023;41(1):3-17.
3. Janik-Karpinska E, Brancaloneoni R, Niemcewicz M, et al. Healthcare waste—a serious problem for global health. *Healthcare* 2023;11(2):242.

*Correspondence to: Maya Mizrahi, Department of Public Health, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel. E-mail: mirzahi.m@univ.haifa.ac.il

Received: 24-Aug-2024, Manuscript No. AAEWMR-24-148243; Editor assigned: 27-Aug-2024, PreQC No. AAEWMR-24-148243 (PQ); Reviewed: 05-Sep-2024, QC No. AAEWMR-24-148243; Revised: 14-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. AAEWMR-24-148243 (R); Published: 25-Sep-2024, DOI: 10.35841/aeewmr-7.5.226

4. Dihan MR, Nayeem SA, Roy H, et al. Healthcare waste in Bangladesh: Current status, the impact of Covid-19 and sustainable management with life cycle and circular economy framework. *Sci Total Environ.* 2023;871:162083.
5. Kenny C, Priyadarshini A. Review of current healthcare waste management methods and their effect on global health. *Healthcare* 2021; 9(3):284.
6. Mulligan S, Hatton PV, Martin N. Resin-based composite materials: elution and pollution. *Br Dent J.* 2022;232(9):644-52.
7. Trajano GT, Vasconcelos OM, Pataca LC, et al. Anionic surfactants monitoring in healthcare facilities—a case of Belo Horizonte City, Brazil. *Environ Monit Assess.* 2022;194(4):248.
8. Shabani T, Jerie S. A review of the applicability of Environmental Management Systems in waste management in the medical sector of Zimbabwe. *Environ Monit Assess.* 2023;195(6):789.
9. Sarangi PK, Srivastava RK, Sahoo UK, et al. Biotechnological innovations in nanocellulose production from waste biomass with a focus on pineapple waste. *Chemosphere.* 2024;349:140833.
10. Nosheen F, Malik N, Mehmood Z, et al. Biomedical waste management associated with infectious diseases among health care professionals in apex hospitals of a typical south asian city. *Environ Res.* 2022;215:114240.