

Biocompatibility and longevity in dental implants: A review of current materials and techniques.

Marina Kohal*

Department of Prosthodontics, Albert-Ludwigs University, Germany

Introduction

Biocompatibility and longevity are critical factors in the success of dental implants, influencing both clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction [1]. As the demand for dental implants continues to rise, advancements in materials and techniques have emerged to enhance the integration of implants with the surrounding bone and soft tissue. This review explores the current materials and techniques used in dental implants, focusing on their biocompatibility and longevity [2].

Biocompatibility refers to the ability of a material to interact with biological systems without eliciting an adverse reaction. For dental implants, this property is crucial, as the implant must integrate seamlessly with the jawbone to ensure stability and functionality [3]. The most commonly used material for dental implants is titanium, which is renowned for its excellent biocompatibility, strength, and resistance to corrosion. Titanium's ability to form a stable oxide layer enhances its osseointegration—the process by which bone grows around the implant—resulting in a strong bond that supports the implant over time [4].

Recent innovations in implant materials have introduced titanium alloys and zirconia as alternatives to pure titanium. Titanium alloys, such as Ti-6Al-4V, offer improved mechanical properties, making them suitable for various clinical situations [5]. Zirconia implants, which are made of a biocompatible ceramic material, have gained popularity due to their aesthetic advantages and lower plaque affinity. However, while zirconia shows promising biocompatibility, it may not offer the same level of osseointegration as titanium [6].

Another key consideration in dental implants is the surface texture and treatment of the implant. Surface modifications, such as sandblasting, acid etching, and plasma spraying, create micro- and nano-scale topographies that enhance osseointegration by increasing the surface area for bone contact [7]. Recent research has also focused on bioactive coatings, which incorporate growth factors or biologically active molecules to promote faster and more effective bone healing [8].

Techniques in implant placement also play a significant role in ensuring the longevity of dental implants. The emergence of guided implant surgery and digital planning tools has enabled more precise placement of implants, reducing the risk of

complications and enhancing overall outcomes. Additionally, the adoption of minimally invasive surgical techniques minimizes trauma to surrounding tissues, promoting quicker healing and reducing the likelihood of implant failure [9].

Longevity of dental implants is not solely dependent on the materials and techniques used; it also requires diligent post-operative care and patient maintenance. Regular follow-ups, proper oral hygiene practices, and lifestyle modifications, such as smoking cessation, are essential in ensuring the long-term success of implants [10].

Conclusion

The fields of biocompatibility and longevity in dental implants are continuously evolving, with ongoing research aimed at improving materials and techniques. Titanium remains the gold standard for implants, but innovations in alternative materials and surface treatments are enhancing outcomes. By combining advanced materials with precise surgical techniques and patient care, dental professionals can significantly improve the success rates and longevity of dental implants, ultimately contributing to enhanced patient satisfaction and quality of life.

References

1. John KR. Biocompatibility of dental materials. *Dent Clin North Am.* 2007;51(3):747-60.
2. Abraham AM, Venkatesan S. A review on application of biomaterials for medical and dental implants. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Pt. L J. Mater. Des. Appl.* 2023;237(2):249-73.
3. Manam NS, Harun WS, Shri DA, et al. Study of corrosion in biocompatible metals for implants: A review. *J. Alloys Compd.* 2017;701:698-715.
4. Lemons J, Natiella J. Biomaterials, biocompatibility, and peri-implant considerations. *Dent Clin North Am.* 1986;30(1):3-23.
5. Louropoulou A, Slot DE, Van der Weijden F. Influence of mechanical instruments on the biocompatibility of titanium dental implants surfaces: a systematic review. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2015;26(7):841-50.
6. Asri RI, Harun WS, Samykano M, et al. Corrosion and surface modification on biocompatible metals: A review. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl.* 2017;77:1261-74.

*Correspondence to: Marina Kohal, Department of Prosthodontics, Albert-Ludwigs University, Germany. E-mail: kohal@alu.de.co

Received: 29-Aug-2024, Manuscript No. AACDOH-24-151295; Editor assigned: 30-Aug-2024, Pre QC No. AACDOH-24-151295(PQ); Reviewed: 13-Sep-2024, QC No. AACDOH-24-151295; Revised: 18-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. AACDOH-24-151295(R); Published: 25-Sep-2024, DOI: 10.35841/aacdoh-8.5.229

7. Shingade A, Dhattrak P. Biomaterials used in dental applications to improve success rate of implantation: A review. *ACP*. 2021;2358(1).
8. Gepreel MA, Niinomi M. Biocompatibility of Ti-alloys for long-term implantation. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater*. 2013;20:407-15.
9. Jayaraj K, Pius A. Biocompatible coatings for metallic biomaterials. *Metallic biomaterials*. 2018:323-354.
10. Smith DC. Dental implants: materials and design considerations. *Int J Prosthodont*. 1993;6(2).